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The triple fault in f.c.c. and the double fault in h.c.p. crystals are stacking faults of the type in which changes in sites of unit layers occur only at faults. The diffraction theory of the latter fault is dealt with on the basis of the matrix method.

As pointed out previously by the present author (Sato, 1966), the sites of close-packed atomic layers outside a triple fault in f.c.c. crystals are not affected by its presence at all. This remarkable feature is also seen in the double fault in h.c.p. crystals, which is formed when a single fault [Fig. 1, (a) or ( $a^{\prime}$ )] (Christian, 1954) is immediately followed by another single fault. The feature concerned is illustrated in Fig. 1, ( $b$ ) or ( $b^{\prime}$ ). Thus the double fault in h.c.p. and the triple fault in f.c.c. crystals correspond to einfache and doppelte 'Drehversetzungen' respectively, discussed by Jagodzinski (1954).

For the present problem it is convenient to adopt the unit-layer hexagonal indices $h k . \zeta$, which are related to the ordinary hexagonal indices $h k$. $l$ as $\zeta=l / 2$. As is well known, diffraction effects of stacking faults appear only along the reciprocal lines $h-k \neq 0 \bmod 3$. The relative intensity $I$ on these lines as a function of continuous-valued $\zeta$ is readily calculated by the matrix method given by Kakinoki (1965, 1967) and Kakinoki \& Komura (1965). The first procedure in this method is to tabulate the probabilities for occurrences of all kinds of layers. If, in the starting crystal, the sequence of an $A, B$, or $C$ layer to the subsequent layer is


Fig. 1. Unit-layer stackings in the h.c.p. structure containing a single fault $\left[(a)\right.$ and $\left.\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right]$ and a double fault $\left[(b)\right.$ and $\left.\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right]$. The horizontal lines are the sections of the unit layers. Open circles and broken lines indicate the zigzag characteristic to the starting h.c.p. structure.
positive (or negative), the suffix 1 (or 2 ) will be attached to $A, B$, or $C$. The terms positive and negative denote the sequences $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A$ and $A \rightarrow C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$, respectively (International Tables for $X$-Ray Crystallography, 1959). If, furthermore, the sequence of a layer to the subsequent one is governed by the probability of unity, a prime will be attached. Then, the table required is given as follows:

|  | $A_{1} A_{2}^{\prime} A_{1}^{\prime} A_{2}$ | $B_{1} B_{2}^{\prime} B_{1}^{\prime} B_{2}$ | $C_{1} C_{2}^{\prime} C_{1}^{\prime} C_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & A_{1} \\ & A_{2} \\ & A_{1}^{\prime} \\ & A_{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 1 & & 1-\beta \\ & & \end{array}$ | $\square$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & B_{1}^{1} \\ & B_{2} \\ & B_{1}^{\prime} \\ & B_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-\beta \\ & \beta \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & C_{1}^{1} \\ & C_{2} \\ & C_{1}^{\prime} \\ & C_{2} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\square$ |  |

where $\beta$ is the double-fault probability and the values at the blanks are all 0 .

If the number $N$ of unit layers is assumed to be sufficiently large, the final result is


Fig.2. The coefficients in the first two terms of the equation for I versus $f$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=\frac{(2-3 f)^{2}}{16} \frac{\sin ^{2} N \pi \zeta}{\sin ^{2} \pi \zeta}+\frac{3(2-f)^{2}}{16} \frac{\sin ^{2} N \pi\left(\zeta-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sin ^{2} \pi\left(\zeta-\frac{1}{2}\right)}+N E, \\
E=\frac{3}{8} f(1-f)(2-f)\left\{\frac{1}{2-f(2-f)(1+\cos 2 \pi \zeta)}\right\} \\
\\
+\frac{3}{2-f(2-f)(1-\cos 2 \pi \zeta)}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f$ is the fraction of 'twinned' two-layer sequences, which is related to $\beta$ as $f=2 \beta /(1+\beta)$.
The first and second terms of the equation for $I$ give the sharp reflexions due to the above remarkable feature of the double fault; each of them is the Laue function having the principal maxima at $\zeta=0$ or $\frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$, but with a coefficient involving $f$. The two coefficients are plotted in Fig. 2 against $f$. The last term $N E$ gives the diffuse reflexions due to the faults. As is seen in Fig. 3, the peaks of the function $E$ become sharper and higher as $f$ increases. Peak displacement does not occur in any case.

For $f=\beta=0, I$ gives duly the diffraction from the starting h.c.p. crystal; the coefficients in the first and second terms become $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$, respectively, and $E$ vanishes. The crystal for $f=\beta=1$ is the twin, as a whole, of the starting crystal, which should give rise to the diffraction same as that of the starting crystal. For $f=\beta=1$, however, the two coefficients become $\frac{1}{16}$ and $\frac{3}{16}$, respectively, although $E$ duly vanishes. This descrepancy is ascribed to the above assumption regarding $N$. When the higher terms which have been neglected on this assumption for obtaining $I$ are taken into account, the same reflexions as those for $f=\beta=0$ can duly be obtained for $f=\beta=1$.
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Fig.3. The function $E$ for various values of $f$. The curves are symmetric with respect to $\zeta=0$ and $\frac{1}{2} . \varphi=360^{\circ} \times \zeta$.
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Phasenbeziehungen des tetragonalen Kristallsystems. Von Ernst Schultze-Rhonhof, Anorganisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität, 53 Bonn, Meckenheimer Allee 168, Deutschland
(Eingegangen am 28. Mai 1968)
Attention is drawn to phase relations of reflexions in tetragonal space groups missing from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography.

Im tetragonalen System kann man einer Netzebene ( $h k l$ ) weitere 15 Ebenen zuordnen, deren Indices sich aus den Indices ( $h k l$ ) durch Anwendung einer Gruppe von Operationen erzeugen lassen. Diese Operationen sind:
(a) Vorzeichenänderung von $h, k$ und $l$, entsprechend Spiegelungen an den Ebenen $0 k l, h 0 l$ und $h k 0$ im reziproken Gitter, und
(b) Vertauschen der Indices $h$ und $k$, entsprechend einer Spiegelung an der Ebene hhl.
Die Strukturamplituden $|F(h k l)|$ und Phasen $\alpha(h k l)$ dieser 16 Netzebenen sind durch Gesetzmässigkeiten verknüpft, die bisher nur für diejenigen Flächen tabelliert sind, die durch Anwendung der Untergruppe (a) auseinander hervorgehen (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1952). Diese Arbeit, die in Reihenfolge und Aufstellung der einzelnen Raumgruppen den International Tables (1952)
folgt, gibt eine Tabelle der fehlenden Zusammenhänge.* Die Formeln wurden für alle möglichen Fälle analytisch berechnet und davon unabhängig auf der IBM 7090 des Rheinisch-Westfälischen Institutes für Instrumentelle Mathematik, Bonn, nachgeprüft.

## Literatur

International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1952). Vol. I, S. 415 ff . Birmingham: Kynoch Press.

* Editorial note: The Table is omitted from this Short Communication because the information which it contains will be included in a complete list of corrections for Vol. I which is being prepared by the Commission on International Tables. It is proposed to publish the list in the near future.

